Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/589,123	KRILL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	YEVEGENY VALENROD	1621
All Participants:	Status of Application: allowed	
(1) <u>YEVEGENY VALENROD</u> .	(3)	
(2) Thimas M. Cunningham.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 February 2008	Time: <u>16:00</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:  ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: none		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Attorney agreed to the proposed examiners amendmet to the specification.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Yevgeny Valenrod/ 5/2/08		
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)